been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for coniment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Denied Persons by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202–4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request with the Assistant Secretary not later than 20 days before the expiration date and serving the request on the Respondents. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective as of the date that it is signed and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 1st day of October 2008. Darryl W. Jackson,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E8–23806 Filed 10–9–08; 8:45 am] $_{\mbox{\footnotesize BILLING CODE}}$ 3510–DT–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Stanford University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of

1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 08–047. Applicant: Stanford University, Stanford, CA 943005–5126. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F20 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 73 FR 54560, September 22, 2008.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: The foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: October 6, 2008.

Fave Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–24202 Filed 10–9–08; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 3510-DS-P$

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration (A-475-818)

Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 10, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric B. Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4014, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–6071.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 19, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its notice of initiation of antidumping duty (AD) changed circumstances review (CCR).

See Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 72 FR 65010 (November 19, 2007). On February 22, 2008, the Department published its notice of preliminary results of AD CCR and intent to reinstate the AD order. See Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Intent to Reinstate the Antidumping Duty Order, 73 FR 9769 (February 22, 2008). On August 12, 2008, the Department extended the due date of the final results of the AD CCR until October 6, 2008. See Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 73 FR 46871 (August 12, 2008). On September 17, 2008, Pasta Lensi S.r.L. (Lensi) and the American Italian Pasta Company (AIPC) requested to meet with officials from the Department regarding the AD CCR. That meeting is currently scheduled for October 8, 2008, after the current due date of the final results. On September 29, 2008, the Department placed on the record of the AD CCR press releases from the United States Attorney for the Western District of Missouri and the Securities and Exchange Administration (SEC) regarding the AIPC. See the Memorandum to the File from Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, "Press Release from Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Missouri and the Securities and Exchange Commission Regarding the American Italian Pasta Company' (September 29, 2008), a public document on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 1117 of the main Department building.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Under 19 CFR 351.216(e), the Department will issue the final results of a CCR within 270 days after the date on which the Department initiates the changed circumstances review. Currently, the final results of the AD CCR, which cover Lensi, a producer/ exporter of pasta from Italy, and AIPC, Lensi's corporate parent and importer of subject merchandise produced by Lensi, are due by October 6, 2008. As explained above, the Department has placed certain information regarding Lensi on the record of the AD CCR. In addition, Lensi and AIPC have requested to meet with officials from the Department regarding the AD CCR. See Memorandum to the File from Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, "Request by Pasta Lensi S.r.L. for Meeting with Assistant Secretary" (September 30, 2008), a public document on file in the